P27

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 225-15

1915-16
(Police)

Serial Nos. 1 to 10

Later references.

Preserve
Asst
6/7/29

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 10

Part B for August 1917

SUBJECT.

Motion of introducing a rule requiring arms
use holders to produce their arms for
ection when called upon by a
District Magistrate or a First-class Magistrate

10) To The District Magistrates
" I. G of Police in Mysore.
of Districts

No J 378-86 Dt 6-8-1917

States that the proposed rule
does not appear to be expedient.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Rahman Khan
8.17

364

(1

G. R. S. 11] 1915-16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 225-15

FILE HEADING.

Framing of a rule requiring arms licence holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon by the Dt. Magte. or a 1st. class Magte.

From

The Dt. Magte, Chittal Drug Dt.

No. 546 Dated 17-5-16 Received 18-5-16

Diary No. J2964	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Suggests the desirability of framing a rule as above.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Subd. for orders with a draft calling for the opinions of the other Dt. Magtes. & the I.G. of Police.

BG. 20-6-16.

2) Done 28/6

USA

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 2-2-5 of 1915.

Serial No.	
2	To The S. S. P. of Police The Dt. Magistrates of Districts except that of Chitaldrug No J 4955-62 22nd June 1916 calls for his opinion as to the necessity or otherwise for such a rule.
3	From The D. M. Kolar Dt. no. 496 of 30.6.16 States that is very essential to frame a rule requiring licensees to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by all magis- and police officers not below the rank of Sub Inspectr
4	From The Dt Magis. Bangalore Dt. no. S 861 of 30.6.16. States that the provision supplied by the D. M. Chitaldrug seems to be necessary. 3) Subd. The replies from the other Dt. Magis. [& the S.S.P. may be awaited.
5	From The Dt. Magis. Mysore. no. 754 of 30.6.16 States that the framing of a rule as supplied by the D. M. Chitaldrug will be very useful
6	From The D. G. of Police in Mysore. 40/2 of 3/5.7.16. States that license holders in Forms I, II & III are legally obliged to ~~produce~~ exhibit their arms & ammunition for inspection at any time and holders under Form VII once a year & that it is undesirable that holders of licenses

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MPB—70,000—17-12-15

f 201 f 134 f 36

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Police File No. 225 of 1915/16

(13

Serial No.

under Forms IV, V & VI should be required to produce Firearms as supplied by the D.-in-Chief Burg.

4) Subd. The replies from the other Dt. Magts. may be awaited for some time more.

BH. 12-7-16. [illegible]

7 From The D.C. Kerur Dt. no. Hqr. 1516-1915-16 Dt 15.7.16

States that a rule may be framed.

8 From The D.C. Tumkur Dt. no. C. no. 6-ring Dt 12/15.7.16

States that such a rule is necessary.

5) Subd. The replies from Hassan & Shimoga may be awaited.

BH. 20.7.16. [illegible]

9 From The E.-in-C. Hassan Dt. no. Dis 21-S Dt 23/26.7.16

States that section rule 26 of the Arms Rules makes provision for calling upon licensees to produce their licenses and thus for implies the production the arms or arms covered by such licenses as otherwise there would not be much use in inspecting or examining license forms.

6) The reply from the Shimoga Dt. has not yet been received. Subd. with a Draft Reminder.

BH. 22.8.16. [illegible]

7) Issue Draft

Draft issued. no. T 798 Dt 25.8.16.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(P.3—73,001) —17-12-15

§ 316

(4

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 225 of 1915/16

Serial No. 9(a)

From The Dt. Magte. Shimoga. No. Mysc. 75 Dt. 5/8 16.

States that he agrees with the D-Gen. Chief Insp.

8) All the Dt. Magte. except that of Hassan are in favour of making the proposed Rule. The D-Gen. Hassan, is of opinion that this is unnecessary as there is at present a rule compelling the production of licenses and that this implies the production of arms covered thereby. The I.G. observes that under the existing Rules in certain cases the license holders are bound to produce their arms and that it is undesirable to enforce the production of arms in other cases.

9) Subd. for orders with a copy of the Arms Rules.

RR. 18.10.16

7(1)

10) Please put the annotated edition of the British Indian Act.

Be 19/10

7(K).

11) A copy of Roy's Arms Act-manual is subd. herewith.

RR. 18.10.16.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—75,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 225 of 1915-16

Serial No.

(12) The District Magistrate of Chitaldrug explains in his letter the necessity for an occasional inspection of arms on the ground that many persons who renew their licenses have no arms at all and that the arms with some do not correspond with the description given in the licenses. He further points out that the existing rule 26 provides for the production of the licenses by the ~~house~~ persons holding the same but not for the production of the arms.

(13) Mr. Commissioner is of opinion that Rule 26 implies that the arms also could be ordered to be produced. The Dy. of Police also ~~agrees~~ is of the ~~same~~ opinion, ~~though~~ that the proposal if adopted will be a source of inconvenience to the public. As pointed out by him, the question arises only with reference to the licenses issued under forms IV, V & VI. The other ~~Dy~~ Dy. Magistrates are in favour of the adoption of the proposal.

(14) In this connection, it may be pointed out that the Rules in British India are the same as they are in force here. There also there appears to be no ~~such duty as to~~ duty on the public to produce the arms for the inspection of the Magistrate every year. As suggested by the Dy. of Police, if the ~~police~~ entry is proper

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

J 316

(6

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pol File No. 225 of 1915.

Serial No.

made the defect about the description may perhaps be rectified. As regards the production of arms, Government have power to frame a rule under S 12 (f) requiring the person holding any license to produce or account for the arms covered by the license, when called upon by an officer of Govt. so to do. Rule 26 provides for the production of the license but not of arms. From the point of view of the public, the question of inconvenience, and the absence of a sanction ~~duty~~ on the public in British India to produce the arms are likely to be urged as arguments against the proposal, not to say of the ~~this~~ (his ability on the public (always striking) (having to pay a heavy import duty on any arm like with to have. It is therefore a point for order as to whether the proposal of the District Magistrate has to be adopted. If approved, draft rule and notification will be submitted. ~~for favour~~

Ebrahimsahib
29/10/1

Extraordinary Member.

(5)

When an application is made for a license or renewal of a license, there is nothing to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 225 of 1915/16

(17)

Serial No.

far as I see, to prevent the authorities from making an enquiry as to what kind of arm the applicant possesses and insisting on the production of the same for inspection, before granting the application. In some cases however, before the time for renewing the license comes, it may be necessary to know whether the arm the licensee is in possession of is the same as that for which a license has been granted and for this purpose, a provision making it obligatory on the part of a licensee to produce the arm, if called upon to do so, seems useful. As this power viz., to call upon a licensee to produce the arm, is proposed to be given only to the District Magistrate or a Magistrate of the First Class, there is no likelihood of its being misused or exercised in such a manner as to cause inconvenience to the public. A draft rule and notification may be suggested as also the needful changes in the conditions annexed to Forms IV, V and VI.

26.10.16.

31/10

(16) -

Subd. with a draft notification.

The first condition under which all licenses are granted is that they are granted subject to the provisions of the Arms Act and Rules and the Rule requiring the production of the license when called for is not appended to and a condition to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-12-15

Serial No.

any of the forms of licenses. It is respectfully added that it is a matter for consideration whether in these circumstances it is necessary to add the new rule as a condition to the license forms.

BK. 4.11.16.

17) Draft may kindly be approved

6/11

138 / 6.11.16. Second Member)

18) This point was discussed in the Madras Pt. last year - along with instances brought to the notice of Govt. in the Home Office - & the conclusion arrived at was that the rule if enforced would be vexatious although what the rule might contemplate is the direct granting arms licenses - I am therefore not sure for what the rule which the Bn. Govt have thought fit not to issue

6.11 Done 8/11

19) This might be submitted to Mr. Dewan.

29.3.17.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 225 of 1917—16.

Serial No.

19) Submitted for favour of orders in view of the opinion recorded above by the Second Member, which differs from that of the Extraordinary Member.

20) may be answered at a meeting of Council informally by Member

Extraordinary

Dewar

Dewar 30/3

30/3

5475 30.3.17

21) May be considered at a meeting of Council.

23.6.17

22) Question of introducing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon by a District Magistrate or a 1st class Magistrate.

209 23.7.17

23) The proposed rule does not appear to be expedient.

12/7

Answered

12/7

24) Sent.

25) Submitted with a draft.

Mgr. 19.7.17

26) Approved

21/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

209 23.7.17 Extraordinary Member

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 454-17

27.6.1917

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause viii of the Schedule)

Question of introducing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon by a District Magistrate or a First Class Magistrate.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

D. M. Narasimhalu

Secretary to Government.

26th June 1917.

Extraordinary Member of Council. Opinion recorded.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council. Opinion recorded.

WD 2670—GPB—1,000—11-5-17

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 225 of 1915-16

Serial No.	
	4.) Draft approved by the Extraordinary Member. 31 7 17.
	25)
10	To The Dt Magistrates & Dli The S. P. & Police No 348-66 6th Aug 1917 States that the proposed rule does not appear to be expedient

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 16th May 1916.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug.

DIARY No. J. 2964
1915-1916
SECTION Police
FILE No. 775-15
SERIAL No. 1

To

D. V. Narasinga Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

I have the honor to state that instances have come to my notice in which persons who were granted licenses for the possession of Arms under the Arms Regulation and who have been getting their licenses renewed from year to year do not possess ~~the~~ any arms at all, while in certain cases persons are found in possession of arms different in kind from those for which they hold licenses. In order to regulate the grant of licenses only to those ~~who~~ that are in actual need of arms and to see that such persons keep in their possession only such arms as are covered by the licenses granted to them, I consider it necessary that the arms possessed by each individual should be subjected to occasional inspection. While the existing rules under the Arms Regulation provide for the inspection of the licenses themselves, there is no provision authorising an officer of Government to call upon licensee to produce the arms in his possession for inspection or for comparing with his license and making refusal of production penal except in the case of licenses in form VII. Section 12(f) of the Mysore Arms Regulation provides for the framing of rules requiring persons holding licenses to produce the arms, ammunition &c., when called to do so.

The majority of licenses are taken in form IV and it is desirable to take power for the production of arms covered by such licenses. Perhaps the rule may be made in general terms so as to

GPB—50,000—25-9-15

1915-16

From

Police The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug

To

The Secretary to Government
General Department

Dated 10 May 1916

Despatched 17th May 1916

Received

General No. 546.

No.

Enclosure No. —

Suggesting that a Rule may be framed requiring licensees in Form IV and in other forms to produce their arms etc for inspection whenever called upon to do so by a District Magistrate or a Magistrate of the 1st class

(13

cover all licenses.

I therefore beg to ~~request~~ Suggest that a rule may be framed requiring licensees in form IV and ~~CC~~ in other forms to produce their arms &c., for inspection whenever called upon to do so by a District Magistrate or a Magistrate of the First Class.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

C.S. Balasundaraja

District Magistrate,

Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

Jas

X

(Police

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 225-15

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. J 4955 62/Europe

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

To

The I. G. of Police in Mysore

The Dt. Magts of Dls except

that of Chitaldrug Dt.

Date of issue 22.6.1916.

Signed by Mr. A. Sreenivasa Iyer

I am forwarding herewith a copy of letter no. 546 dated the 17th May 1916 from the Dt. Magte. of the Chitaldrug Dt. suggesting the desirability of framing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called on to do so by magts. has the

over.

SUBJECT.

honour to request that Govt. may be favoured with their opinion as to the necessity or otherwise for such a Rule.

By Order

[illegible]
for Secretary to Govt.

BY.
20.6.16.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPP—20,000—10-10-14

(1

District Magistrate's office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 30th June 1916.

From

P. F. B O W R I N G, Esquire, J.P.,

District Magistrate, Kolar District.

DIARY No. J.19



To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A, B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.J.4955-62/Police225-15-2 dated 22nd June 1916, calling for my opinion in the matter of framing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called on to do so by Magistrates, I have the honor to state that for the reasons assigned by the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug, it is very essential to frame rules under the arms regulation, requiring licensees in form IV and in other forms to produce their arms etc, for inspection, when called upon to do so by all Magistrates and Police officers not below the rank of a Sub Inspector of Police.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

19

From T.H. 8/7 District magistrate Kolar

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 30th June 16. Genl Dept

Despatched

Received Bangalore

General No. 494

No.

Enclosure No.

Furnishing opinion in the matter of framing a rule requiring arms licensees holders to produce their arms when called on to do so by Magistrates &c

S No. 861

(1)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, the 30th June 1916.

From

DIARY No. J 20



K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, & Dist. magistrate

Bangalore District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department.

S i r.,

With reference to your docket

NO: J. 4956-62. Dated 22nd June 1916

Police 225-15-2

calling for my opinion as to the desira-

bility of framing a rule requiring holders

of arms licenses, to produce their arms

when called on to do so by Magistrates,

I have the honor to state that such a

provision in the rules seems to be

necessary.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient

Servant

K Chandy

Deputy Commissioner

& Dist. Magistrate

Bangalore District

III-19/21 1915-16.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Genl. Dept.

Dated

Despatched 30/6/16.

Received

General No. C 861

No.

Enclosure No.

---

re the opinion called for as to the desirability of framing a rule requiring holders of arms licenses to produce their arms when called for.

No. Office of the District Magistrate, Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 30th June 1916

(15

DIARY No. 1732

From

A. Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. J. 4955-62 Pol. 225-15-2 dated the 22nd instant calling for my opinion as to the necessity or otherwise for framing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by Magistrates, I have the honor to state that under the existing rules refusal to produce an arm for inspection on requisition is not made penal and therefore the possession of arms different in kind from those for which license is issued cannot be satisfactorily controlled, and I agree with the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug that the framing of a rule as suggested by him in his letter No. 546 dated the 17th May 1916 will be very useful.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

District Magistrate,
Mysore District.

svs

No. 40/2 OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Encl. *Dated* Bangalore, 3rd July 1916.

(49)

5/A

DIARY No. 1836

From

Diwan Bahadur C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B.A., B.L.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.



To

D. M. NARASINGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
~~Officiating~~ Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J 4955-62/Pol. 225-15-2 dated 22nd ultimo, calling for my opinion as to the necessity or otherwise of framing a rule requiring license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called on to do so by Magistrates, I have the honor to state that under the rules in force all license holders in forms I, II and III are underalegal obligation to exhibit their licenses, arms, ammunition etc. at any time for inspection by any Magistrate or Police Officer not below the rank of Inspector and in the case of license holders under form VII once a year.

2. Such a provision seems to have been advisedly omitted in the rules in the case of licenses issued under forms IV, V and VI, as it may be a source of inconvenience to such license holders to be constantly disturbed by the authorities. The inconveniences mentioned by the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug, can be easily remedied with a slight precaution. If the description of the arms be caused to be entered in the licenses before issue, there may be no cases in which license holders do not possess any arms or can change their arms. This practice seems to be in force in British India. It is undesirable that the license holders under Forms IV, V and VI should be asked to produce their arms as suggested by the District Magistrate. The preliminary precautionary measures above suggested may remove all

From

GPB—400—22-7-15

The Inspector-General of Police
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Dept.

Dated 30th July 1916

Despatched 5th July 1916

Received

General No. 40
No. 2

SUBJECT.

irregularities.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. Vikramasinghe

Inspector General of Police.

R.R.

121

Office of the District Magistrate,

Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur, 15th July 1916.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

District Magistrate,

Kadur District.



To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. J.4955-62/Police 225-15-2 dated the 22nd June 1916 forwarding copy of letter No. 546 dated the 17th May 1916 from the District Magistrate of the -- Chitaldrug District regarding the desirablity of framing a rule requiring license-holders to produce their arms for inspection when called on to do so by Magistrates and calling for my opinion as to the necessity or otherwise for such a rule, I have the honor to state that a rule may be framed under section 12(f) of the Arms Regulation requiring the license holder to produce the arms covered by the license when called upon to do so by the District Magistrate or a Magistrate of the 1st Class whenever there is necessity for inspecting such arms in the interests of the general administration of the District or for any their special reasons.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamaiya

District Magistrate,

Kadur District.

D.S.R.

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

1916.

From
The Deputy Commissioner.
Kadur District.

To
The Secretary to Govt.
General Dept.
Bangalore.

Dated 15 July 1916

Despatched 16 "

Received

General No. H.O.C.No 1516. / 1915-16.
No.

Enclosure No. -

Furnishes opinion in the matter of framing a rule for the production of arms under Section 12 (f) of the Arms Regulation ——

Office of the District Magistrate,

Tumkur District,

Dated, Tumkur, the 12th July 1916.

15

DIARY No. J. 139



From

K. Chandy, Esqr., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, & District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

To.

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esqr., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Mahâraja of Mysore, General Department,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. J. 4955-62/Police 225-15-2 dated 22nd June 1916 requiring me to furnish my opinion on the suggestion made by the District Magistrate, CHitaldroog, regarding the framing of a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by Magistrates, I have the honour to state that such a rule is necessary.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D Krishna Rao
Deputy Commissioner &
for District Magistrate,

T.K.N.

Tumkur District.

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

19

From The Deputy Commissioner

District Magistrate,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Government,

General Department, Bangalore.

Dated 12th July 1916.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Forwards opinion re the framing of a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by Magistrates.

1916-17.

(2.

Office of the District Magistrate, Hassan.

Dated Hassan the 23rd. July 1916.

DIARY No. 9201



From

K. Krishna Iyer, Esquire,

District Magistrate, Hassan.

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. J.4955-62/Police 225-15-2 dated 22nd. June 1916 forwarding for opinion copy of a letter No. 546 dated the 17th. May 1916 from the District Magistrate of the Chitaldroog District suggesting the desirability of framing a rule requiring arms license holders to produce their arms for inspection when called on to do so by Magistrates, I have the honor to state that under the provision of rule 26 framed under the arms regulation any Magistrate or Police Officer in charge of a Police Station or any Police Officer of higher rank has power or authority to call upon any holder of a license to produce the same and the production of the license implies the production of the particular arm or arms covered by such a license as otherwise there would not be much use in his merely inspecting or examinig the license forms.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Krishna Iyer

District Magistrate,

Hassan.

GPB—50,000—13-9-15

1916-17.

From

K. Krishna Iyer, Esquire,

District Magistrate,

Hassan.

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Dated 23rd. July 1916.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Furnishing opinion regarding the framing of a rule to enforce the production of Arms for inspection when called on by the Magistrate

M.J.P. No. 5. Office of the District Magistrate,

Dated Miraj the 1st April 1918.

From,

DIARY No. J.316

10-10
EDITION Pur
ITEM. 225-15
[illegible] 9109

A. [illegible] Esqr, [illegible], P. [illegible],

District Magistrate,

Miraj.

To

N. [illegible] Rao, Pandya, B.A.,LL.B.,

Secretary to the Government of Poona,

General Department,

Bombay.

Sir,

In compliance to your letter No.M.[illegible]/Police 225-15-2 dated 22nd June 1918 requiring my opinion upon a letter from the District Magistrate, Vijapur, in the matter of issuing a rule requiring arms licensees to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by Magistrates, I have the honour to state that I agree in the opinion expressed by the District Magistrate of Vijapur.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,

Miraj.

A.M.P.

GPB—50,000— 17-9-15

19 16-17.

9 AUG 1916

From

The District Magistrate,
Shimoga.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
General Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 5th August 1916

Despatched 8/8.

Received

General No. C. 75 (Mysh)
No.

Enclosure No.

Rules [illegible] for-
[illegible] damages in [illegible] cultivation occasioned
[illegible] Wild Animals, [illegible].

G.R.S. VI-71]

/ 2

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Police
File No. 225-15
Serial No. 10

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 6/8/17

Signed by W. G. Srinivasa Ayr

Read.— Letter No. 546 dated 17 May 1916 from the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug District, suggesting the desirability of framing a rule requiring arms licensees holders to produce their arms for inspection when called upon to do so by a District Magistrate or a Magistrate of the First Class.

2. The Marginally noted communications from the

May this be

i printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the Press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

Order Thereon:— ~~No.~~

over.

(X)

Districts Magistrates of districts other than Chitaldrug & the D. G. of Police in Mysore, furnishing their opinion in the matter as to the necessity or otherwise of framing a rule as suggested by the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug.

1. Letter no. 494 dt 30.6.16 from the District Magistrate, Kolar
2. Letter no. S. 861 dt 30.6.16 from the Dt. Magistrate, Bangalore
3. Letter no. 754 dt 30.6.16 from the Dt. Magistrate, Mysore
4. Letter no. 40/2 dt 3/5.7.16 from the D.G. of Police in Mysore
5. Letter no. H.O.C. 1516-1915-16 dt 15.7.16 from the Dt. Magistrate, Kadur.
6. Letter no. C. 6/Magt. dt 12/15.7.16 from the Dt. Magte, Tumkur.
7. Letter no. Dis 21-S dt 23/24.7.16
8. — do — Magt. C. 75 dt 5/8.8.16 from the Dt. Magte, Shimoga

Order no. J 378-86 dt

Government consider that the proposed rule does not appear to be expedient.

dt 21/7/17 For General Secy

Draw 31.7.17.

To

The District Magistrates of Dts
& D. G. of Police in Mysore

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Draw 19.7.17